Contents

Introduco	ción	3.	
I.	Political Context		

Introduction

The Carter Center led a sufhelectoral observation mission for Ecuador's constitutional referendum on Sept. 28, 2008, to help prote a transparent fair electoral process and accountry the country as it continues its political stitutional transformation. One of the rajor milestones in the process was the convening of thational constituent asserbly (CA), which held session between November 2007 and July 2008. The A's efforts culminated in the approval of a draft constituent, which was also approved by 63.93 percent of Elousroters during the Sept. 28, 2008, referendum

The Carter Center has been following Ecuadorlitipal transformation closely since the middle of 2007. In particular, it observed the elections those assembly sembers in September 2007 and, afterward, is used biweelly reports and a final report in Spanish and Enghison the main events and decisions in the CA. Furtheome, The Carter Onter helped to organize two workshops targeted assembly members on lessons altered from other contituent processes, such as Blivia's and Colombia's, that were held in early 2008.

In this context and in response an invitation by Ecuador Supreme Electoral Tibunal (Tribunal Supremo Electoral, or TSE), The Carter Center field extering the referenduman international electoral observation team composed of experts on political anglectoral issues. The observation mission was headed by Rodrigo Carazo, ferror extent of Costa Rica and ember of the Frieds of the Inter-American Democratic Charter. The other of the mission was Francisco Diez, the Carter Center's representative in Latin America. The arter Center released statement following the referendumbut did not offer an extensive repodue to the limited nature of the inssion.

This report provides an account to fe mission's chief activities, as wellas its most important findings. In the first section, we describe the timedil context in which the referendum took place. In the second section, eweporton the key activities and findings the mission duing the period leadingup to the day of the referendum. The third section is dedicated treporting the activities of the mission on the day of the vote next ection offers a general assessment of the elector a process. In the final section, wireclude the results of the vote and other different perspectives on the institutional transformation that has begon with the approval of what will be the new constitution; we also established the main activities.

I. The Political Context

In 1997 Ecuador experienced the beginning of a conference transfer to the removal or overthrow of three of its presingts. In 1998, during the antihistration of Fabián Alarcón, the Ecuadorian people deleid to initiate a contisuent process inorder to retro the country to political stability. Its most immediate result was the country's 19th constitution ("the Constitution of '98," as it is popularly known). However, the new-found stability lasted a short while. Barely a year after the deption of the new constitution, and as a result of the discounted between the people's expectants and the present governments concrete policies, popular discontent returned to explosivevels. The years that followed bore witness to large popular marches, a successful of d'etat, and yet another presidential otherow. In total, Ecuador saw eight presidents in a period 60 years (1997–2007), and the appropriate of the foundational institutions of the state (Congress, discial system, etc.) and the political parties. In the context, in early 2006the presidential capacign of economist Rafael Correa surged in popularity due to thick of affiliation with the tarditional political parties. As his principal campaign issue he called for a new constituent assembly that would haveufil powers.

Once President Rafaeb@ea assumed office on Jans, 2007, his first act was to call for a popular referendumby decree to decide whether to hother asserbly (Decree No. 2). On April 15, 2007, the referendm took place with 81.72 percent timog in favor of the constituent assembly. A few months later, on Sept. 30, 2007, the asserbly members were elected. Decided ador selected 24 national assembly members; 100 provincials sembly members; and six representatives of ingrants living outside the country (tow for Latin America, two for the United States and Canada, and two for Europe). The results of the election were strongly prable for the president's political or members. The Patria Altiva y Soberana (PAIS) coalition won 80 of the 130 seats in the assets in

The CA held sesiss from Nov. 29, 2007, touly 24, 2008, the day the draft constitu

The Complaint by León Roldós

On Aug. 26, 2008, assemblyember León Roldós (of the partity dética y Democrática, RED) presented the attorney general of Ecuador Wildgations about ideological diffications to the draft constitution approved by the ionaity of the CA on July 24, 2008.

The complaint brought by Roldós focused on two central points:

- the alleged textual chaesgbetween the fits/ersion presented by the Special Editing Commission on July 19 and the second items the Commission pesented which was voted on and approved on July 24
- the last-minute indusion of the transitional regime as an integral apt of the draft constitution that would be put for consideratibefore the citizens; according to Roldós, this regime never formed an integral part of the draft constitution

Roldós' complaint wasreceived by the attorney general, Washington Rantez, who called for a public meeting with the president for the CA in recess, Fanando Cordero to heat his version of the events. The attorney general also requested that the president of the TSE send him certified copy of the text received by the CA. On Sept. 8, 2008;n Fanedo Cordero testified before the attacy general. Cordero turned in 17,000;pa of the assetoty's acts and 800 hours of video and audio as evidence. He also mentioned that Roldós allegedy missed the assetoty's sessions between July 18 and 25, in violation of the CA's rules of operation.

Meanwhile, officials from the TSE abilified that the invetsigation previously started by the attorney general could not interrupt the electoral processsous pend the referendurance of this report and once the new constitution is appurous in effect, the process underway in the attorney general's office will continue in a prenvestigatory stage that, according to Ecuador law, could last as long as one to two years.

Electoral Spending and Use of Pullic Funds During the Campaign

Another controversy during the campaign haddowith electoral spending. While there was a comparable balance in spending by political organtions registered ithe TSE to cappaign for both sides, accoind to reports released by articipación Ciudadana, which monitored electoral expenditures, The Carter Center believed that strong presence of the central government and certain sectional governments in the mass media and their promotion of public works projects created an imbalance in the quarign. Government publicity was not always litted to impartial promotion of the content of the constitutional draft, as the law required stread, it occasionly tended to promote a "Yes" votewhen conducted by the national government and some sectional governments or to promote a "No" vote when monducted by the anyor's office of Guayaquil. As stated by Francisco Diaz, the Carter Centerission chief, "From the standpoint of observing the election, there is a clear final arce; the president and his caltingue campaigning. In the earlier process [to elect the national assemble months of the president and the caltingue campaigning. In the earlier process [to elect the national assemble months of the president and the president and

III. The Day of the Referendum

Two days prior to the referendum@arter Center olessvers participated ipreparatory sessions for referendumday. Experts on political and electorassues participated these sessions, asdd representatives from the robservation issions and epresentatives of the TSE. On the day of the referendum Sept. 28, The Carter Center dispatched groups of observers in Guayaquil and three in Quito, due to the strategic electoral importance of the largest unan areas in Ecuador.8

electoral materials specially designed to facilitate voting for persons with disabilities. The TSE also made an outstanding effort toolbude the votes of the Ecuadorsaliving outside the country.

Similarly, The Carter Center reconigred the work of the Ecuador aread forces who provided outstanding assistance for the preparation, order,safeety of the civic event. It also noted the participation of women, working professionals and young people who served as the point of poll workers with great civic enthusiasm

Additionally, the mission recognized the important role played by observers from national and international organizations, especify the contributions of the *Participación Ciudadana* organization, the Organization Afmerican States, the Expean Union, the Center for Electral Assistance and Prooftion, and the other observer delegations from arious countries.

At the pressconference following the referendom, Rodrigo Carazo, presented then Cer's general assessment of the process and offered a few recommendations:

Despite a few minor problems observed during the day, the Cater Center's overall assessment was that the process wastransparent, peaceful well-organized.

The Carter Cetter recommends that Ecuador institutions and society increase their efforts to:

- reduce the discretion used by poll workers in their determination of null votes so that the will of the voter is not altered and so as to avid the possibility of conflict related to the vote count
- prioritize a better distribution of space the polling stations to guantee the efficiency of the process
- respect the limits and time periods established by the law related o electoral propaganda⁹

In addition, The Carter Centercommended that the electoral authies continue their efforts to strengthen the regulatory laws on electoral dipregnand use of public funds during the carring. This should further limit the possibility of discretinary use of public resources by authorities at all levels of government—national, provincial and umicipal—to promote certain electoral outcomes as well as foster an environment for stronge control over electoral spending by electoral authorities. Along these lines, ethCenter recognizethat Article 115 of the newly approved constitution and Articles 14 and 15 of the transitional regime constitute important steps of regulating electoral financing of prohibiting state propagandaring a carpaign. These steps should be developed further by future electoraries approved by the Legislation and Oversight Commission (Comisión Legislativa y de Fiscalización, or CLF).

The Carter Center's assessment overlapped with the analysis conducted by articipación Ciudadana, which was released on the same day that referendm. In its press release, Participación Ciudadana noted the following: 8.7 percent of the pollignsites opered on time and 32.9 percent of the polling sites were acpanied by delegates from political parties and natical, international, and citizen observes they formally depend. Participación Ciudadana also stated that there were no substantive complaints about infringements on the right to vote in secret nor about any electoral praymanda talkog place at voting sites on election day.

-

⁹ The Carter Cetter, "Ecuado Constitutional Referendum: Preliminary Statement by The Carter Cetter" (Press Release) Sept. 29, 2008.

¹⁰ Participaciónt2 0510.5203 Tm(Ci00101 Tc 0.0025 Tw 10

V. Results and Perspectives ohnstitutional Transformation

On Oct. 13, 2008, the TSE finished tabulating all **ball** After waiting two dass for any contest to the results, the TSE on Oct. 16 proclain the offi

The Carter Center's Perspective in Ecuador

Due to this new legal optitical framework, The Carter Cten

Carter Center Electoral Observation Mission Delegation

Observers in Quito

Rodrigo Carazo (forter president of Costa Rica) leader of the Misson Francisco Dez, (Argentina) Chief of the Mission Sarah King (United States)
Carmen Sánchez-Manda (Spain)
Sandra Edwards (United States)
Natalia Martilla (Colombia)
Miguel Ruiz (Mexico)

Observers in Guayaquil

Florencia Ruiz (Argetina) Cécile Mouly (France) Jessica Eby (United States) Antonio González (Værzuela)

Coordination and Logistical Support

Andrea Durango Verónica Guzmán

The Carter Center to Observe Ecualor's Constitutional Referendum

8 September 2008

FOR IMMEDIAT E RELEASE

Contacts:

Deborah Hakes, dhakes@emory.edu or +1- 404-420-5124 Francisco Diez, diez.centrocarter@gmail.com or +54-911-5932-2922

The Carte Center will field an electoral observation mission during Euador's constitutional referendum to be held onp6e28, 2008, in response to an invitation by Ecuador's Supreme Electoral Tribunal *I(ribunal Supremo Electoral)*. The mission will be composed of electoral experts and politichalders and led by Rogor Carazo, forner president of Costa Rica, and ember of the Friends of the Inter-American Democratic Charter. The chief of the electoral mission is Francisco Diez, Carte Center representative in Latin America.

"This will be a small mission that completes the monitoring of the constitutional process in Ecuador we have been carrying tince last year," said number of McCoy, director of the Carter Centers' Americas Programwho is currently in Ecuador to initiate the mission. "The referendum is an important opportunity for the Ecuadorian people texpress their intent regarding the proposed the worstitution peacefly and through the democratic process."

The Carter Center also obsed Ecuados' September 2007 onstituent assembly election and has remained in-country tomonitor the process of drafting a constitution, as well as pursue other initiatives such us the facilitation of a dialogue procest setween key civil society actors from Colombia and Ecuador to improve bilate relations and carrying out an analysis for the determation of public policies on developent in the northern border region.

The electroal mission will coordinate with hose of the European Union, the Organization of American States, an *Carticipación Ciudadana*, and will issue a stateent following the election, but will not offer a comprehensive report of the campaign and election administration due to its limited nature.

McCoy will meet with the present of the Superme Electoral Tribunal and representatives of civil society and the interational community. Over the course of their is sion, the

Carter Centes' delegation will meet withrepresentatives of theußreme Electoral Tribunal, governmental authoities at both national and giennal levels, and Ecuadorian civil society and political party representatives in Quito and Guayaquil.

The Carter Center regoizes that this refendum is a process by Ecuadorian citizens and institutions and will fully coopeate with donestic observers and institutions to carry out the tasks of which it was invited in a professional, impartial, and objective manner in accordance with national rules and and and are international practice.

The Carter Center conditions its election observation in account with the Declaration of Principles and Code of Conduct for Interinatal Election Observation adopted at the United Nations in 2005. As such, our interestrist integrity of the process and not in the outcome of the referendum.

####

"Waging Peace. Fighting Disease. Building Hope."

The Carter Center is celebrating its 25th anniversary this year. It is a non-governmental and non-profit organization that has helped to improve life for people in more than 70 countries by resolving conflicts, and advancing democracy, human rights and economic opportunity. The Carter Center was founded by former U. S. President Jimmy Carter and his wife, Rosalynn Carter, in partnership with Emory University with the purpose of promoting peace around the globe. To learn more about The Carter Center, please visit our website at: www.cartercenter.org.

Ecuador Constitutional Referendum: Preliminary Statement by The Carter Center

Sept. 29, 2008

FOR IMMEDIAT E RELEASE

Contacts:

Deborah Hakes, dhakes@emory.edu or +1 404-420-5124 Francisco Diez, diez.centrocarter@gmail.com or +54-911-5932-2922

The Carte Center congatulates the Ecuadorian people for the democratic participation in the Sept. 28 constitutional referendum, which expressed their civic and peaceful will in a transparent manner.

The Carter Center fielded aimternational electoral observation ission during the referendum response to an invitation Ecuador Supreme Electoral Tribunal Tribunal Supremo Electoral). The mission, led by Rodrigo Carazo, formpresident of Costa Rica and member of the Friends of the Inter-American Democratic Chatter, was composed of electoral ad political experts. The chief of the electoranission was Francisco Diez, Carter Center representative in Latin America. This mission compleme

The Carter Center regoizes the work of the Ecuadorian Armed Forces, who were of great help in the preparation, order, dasecurity of the civic process.

The Center also stress the significant paticipation of poll workers, the majority of whom were young people, word, and pofessionals, who served with a great civic enthu54.99 Tw22 Tw 12 0

Inclusive dialogue is an essential element for the strengthening of Ecuadorian determacy, which needs national agreents to fight poverty, inequality, and social exclusion. Building strong democratic institutions and jaust and harmonious society requires not only a normative framework, but also the continuous dedecisive will of all sectors of society.

The new institutions outlined in the paproved constitution will have as much legitimacy and relevance as bestowed upon the ynthe main social and political actors. The Carter Center stands read to continue accorpanying Ecuado an people in this democratic process.

####

The Carter Center was founded in 1982 by former U.S. President Jimmy Carter and his wife, Rosalynn, in partnership with Emory University, to advance peace and health worldwide. A not-for-profit, nongovernmental organization, the Center has helped to improve life for people in more than 70 countries by resolving conflicts; advancing democracy, human rights, and economic opportunity; preventing diseases; improving mental health care; and teaching farmers to increase crop production. The Center has observed 70 elections in 28 countries. To learn more about The Carter Center, please visit: www.cartercenter.org.