December 2017



Foreword

Democracy arises from people's desire for dignity, equality, justice, liberty, and participation their desire for a voice. But obstacles to the right to equahuman rights. Participants agreed that although participation have become increasingly formidable countries, civil society is threatened by laws and policies that sharply restrict the ability to associate Following the 2015 conference, OHCHR and The freely and protest peacefully, and many people's rights to express opinions including dissenting views-are violently suppressed. In addition, both online and offline, the boundaries between fact and fiction are becoming blurred through sophisticated use of propaganda. In many parts of the world, leaders are garnering support not by fostering engagement and inclusion but instead by enflaming xenophobic and antagonistic sentiment, and there is growing political polarization as whom they agree. At the same time, socioeconomicnerships. Greater reliance on international human sion from political life.

urgency for those who work to advance the right to mation generated by elections practitionersoffers participate in public affairs. We must come together important new opportunities to advance genuine to find ways to engage as directly and forcefully as and inclusive participatory democracy. possible, strengthen the approaches that work, and chart new paths and forge new partnerships.

In 2015, The Carter Center and the Office of Rights (OHCHR) co-chaired the inaugural Human Rights and Election Standards conference, bringingthe value of human dignity. together for the first time a large group of human

rights and elections experts to discuss an approach to election observation and assistance based on democracy involves far more than holding elections, for many around the world in recent years. In many genuine elections are essential to democratic governance and the right to participate in public affairs. Carter Center convened a series of expert workshops, gathering over 100 human rights and election practitioners, as well as representatives of U.N. member states, to explore how the human rights and election communities can together advance a human-rights-based approach to elections. The result is this plan of action.

It is our firm hope and conviction that this plan will lead to increased cooperation and more regular interactions between the human rights and individuals increasingly engage only with those withelections communities, with new and stronger partinequalities intensify many people's sense of exclu-rights norms and standards by the elections community — and increased use by the human rights These challenges create a heightened sense of mechanisms of the practical knowledge and infor-

Respect for human rights is a legal obligation for all states. It underpins the legitimacy of governments and political leaders. It builds states that are the United Nations High Commissioner for Human strong and secure because they are respectful of their people. And it is central to our shared belief in



Through their joint initiative on Human Rights and Election Standards, the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights and The Carter Center have work to bring the human rights and election communities closer and to foster stronger links a communication between them. This Plan of Action aims to advance human rights relate genuine democratic elections by charting a course of practical steps toward our shared

The draft plan was developed based on the recommendations formulated through co tions that took place between 2015 and 2017. Going forward, organizations and individ may agree on an ad-hoc basis to disseminating and acting upon the recommendations Plan of Action. The OHCHR and The Carter Center acknowledge the many individuals and organizations that contributed to the Human Rights and Election Standards consult tions (see Acknowledgements).

Introduction

- 1. Genuine democratic elections expressing the Civil and Political Rights and other international will of the people are essential to securing the legiti-and regional treaties and instruments. This legal mate authority of governments and the promotion and protection of human rights. States around the world regularly hold elections and are obligated, in relevant when considering elections as broad, part through their ratification of key human rights treaties and through customary law, to respect and than single events. protect a core set of human rights and fundamental freedoms critical for democratic elections.
- 2. A well-established and evolving body of public international law related to elections and electoral and participatory rights serves as commonly accepted international norms and standards for genuine elections. Article 21 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights highlights the role of elections in ensuring everyone is able to participate in the public affairs of their country. This is elaborated in the International Covenant on
- corpus includes other human rights that, while not themselves explicitly electoral in nature, are participatory, and inclusive cyclical processes rather
- 3. The inclusion of elections as a means of ensuring the right to participate in public affairs in international and regional instruments means that elections are subject to human rights norms and standards, and scrutiny by international and regional human rights mechanisms.
 - 4. Sustainable Development Goal target 16.7 aims to ensure responsive, inclusive, participatory, and representative decision making at all levels. Effective participation in public affairs through elections may be key in attainment of this target.

Election Observation and Assistance, and Human Rights Communities

- 5. A large range of organizationsintergovernmental and nongovernmental, international, regional, and national provide support for genuine democratic elections. This election community includes technical assistance practitioners, election observation organizations, and various other groups that provide democracy support at the national, regional, and international levels. In parallel, the human rights community includes many national bodies, regional and international intergovernmental agencies, nongovernmental organizations, academic, and other organizations that work to advance human rights, including in the context of elections. It also includes international human rights mechanisms that monitor states' compliance with their human rights obligations.
- 6. The human rights and election communities share common foundational principles that guide their work and that are firmly rooted in the framework of public international law and international human rights law. These communities share common goals and methods of engagement on key issues. They focus on the need to proactively advance participatory democratic rights and freedoms and, in the case of election observation organizations, do so through the monitoring and assessment of state performance, albeit generally with few, if any, enforcement powers.
- 7. Election observation itself is conceptualized as a form of human rights monitoring and relies on data collection about key aspects and issues throughout the electoral process, evidence-based analysis, and the issuance of public statements and reports and recommendations to relevant stakeholders. Implementation of these recommendations forms the basis for follow-up activities, in which other electoral assistance providers are often involved.
- 8. Electoral assistance includes technical advice and assistance provided to governments or electoral institutions that is carried out in an objective, impartial, neutral and independent manner at the

request of states. The purpose of electoral assistance is generally not to assess or report on state performance.

9.

13. Elections lie at the intersection of three distinct but overlapping processes, involving

prudence since the drafting of General Comment No. 25 of the Human Rights Committee, organizations and individuals supporting this Plan of Action agree to support to the extent possible the committee's consideration of an update to the general comment.

Explore the need for new human rights mechanisms as appropriate

28. Recognizing that several special-procedure mandates are focused on rights and freedoms critical to genuine elections, but that no one single mandate focuses on the right to participate, the potential of a thematic mandate on the right to participate in public affairs should continue to be explored. This specific mandate could address all aspects of the right to participate in public affairs.

Improve communication and collaboration between human rights mechanisms and the election community

- 29. Continued collaboration and communication between election observation and assistance communities and human rights mechanisms can only progress through regular and sustainable communication and information sharing. In addition to the actions outlined above, a number of practical steps were suggested to facilitate communities to discuss specific issues should be cation, both formal and informal, including:
- a. inclusion of election practitioners, as appropriate, in regularly scheduled meetings of human rights mechanisms, such as the annual meeting of the special procedures, or briefings to the respective committees or chairs of the treaty bodies
- b. invitation by the appropriate bodies to representatives of regional and international human rights mechanisms to participate in the regularly scheduled meetings of the election community, such as the meeting of endorsers of the Declaration of in and of themselves but are a critical means of Principles for International Election Observation or the Global Elections Organization (GEO) conference

- 27. In the light of new developments and juris- c. information sharing via email or a website on the schedule of upcoming election observation missions, country visits by special rapporteurs, treaty body follow-up missions, and deadlines for the submission of reports to the UPR or treaty bodies
 - d. guidance on human-rights-based approaches to elections shared through existing tools and networks, such as the ACE Electoral Knowledge Network and the Building Resources in Democracy, Governance and Elections (BRIDGE) training program
 - e. consultation with one another in countries of focus before, during, and after the course of election observation missions or country visits; this regular consultation should, as much as possible, be institutionalized to facilitate regular and consistent coordination
 - f. the deployment of human rights experts as part of international election observation missions
 - g. promotion of information sharing and common approaches to human rights and election standards issues between U.N. bodies and regional and subregional organizations
 - 30. In addition, further workshops and confer ences that regularly convene the election observation and election assistance and human rights
 - 31. Both communities could strengthen links among agencies of the U.N., other mandates, and stakeholders for the promotion and implementation of this Plan of Action.

Support the role of civil society and NHRIs in

32. Genuine electoral processes are not an end promoting a more stable political environment in which human rights, including the right to participate in public affairs, can be enjoyed. Civil society

plays an important role in monitoring human rights and elections processes and in advocating for change. The international human rights and elections communities have a responsibility to support this work of civil society within the limit of their mandates and can do this by:

on the implementation of recommendations once given. Representatives of both communities recog-

45. The fourth and final meeting was held in December 2017 at The Carter Center in Atlanta. During this meeting, the draft Human Rights and Election Standards Plan of Action was discussed, reviewed, and finalized. The Plan of Action will be disseminated widely in multiple languages and in an accessible format.

Acknowledgements

The Carter Center and the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights are grateful for the contributions made to this document by the following individuals and organizations.

European Platform for Democratic Elections (EPDE); Donetsk Voters' Committee

Inter-American Commission on Human Rights

International Foundation for Electoral Systems

Sergiy Tkachenko

African Union Commission Idrissa Kamara

European Union, External Action Service, Democracy and Election Observation (EEAS)

Lora Borissova **Emanuele Giaufret** Isabel Martinho

Asian Network for Free Elections (ANFREL) Koul Panha

Forum Asia

Brookings Institution

John Liu

Ashley Miller

The Carter Center Chloe Bordewich

(IACHR) Malene Alleyne

David Carroll

Avery Davis-Roberts

(IFES)

Obehi Okojie Elizabeth Plachta

Michael Svetlik **Chad Vickery**

Jordan Ryan Karin Ryan

Tye Tavaras

International IDEA Therese Laanela

Centre for Civil and Political Rights

Frank McLoughlin

Patrick Mutzenberg

National Citizens' Movement for Free Elections

(NAMFREL) Commonwealth Secretariat Eric Alvia

National Democratic Institute (NDI)

Martin Kasirye

Julia Brothers Sarah Cooper

Democracy Reporting International

Michael McNulty

Hassan Nasir Mirbahar

Open Society Foundations (OSF) Molly Hofsommer

Africa (EISA) Cecile Bassomo Denis Kadima

Ilona Tip

Organization of American States (OAS)

EU Election Observation and Democratic Support (EODS)

Electoral Institute for Sustainable Democracy in

Gerardo de Icaza Sara Mia Noguera Brenda Santamaria

Gilles Saphy

Monica Pinto, U.N. Special Rapporteur on the Independence of Judges and Lawyers

Fernand de Verennes, U.N. Special Rapporteur on

Minority Issues

Alfred de Zayas, U.N. Independent Expert on the Promotion of a Democratic and Equitable

International Order

Representatives of States

João LucaQuental Novaesde Almeida, Brazil

HerborgFiskaaAlvsåker, Norway

Yury Ambrazevich, Belarus

Molla BeleteChekole,Ethiopia

Aly Diané, Republic of Guinea

Dijana Tabori Dorovi, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Barbara Fontana Switzerland

Antar Hassani, Algeria

Jan Kaminek, Czech Republic

ŠpelaKošir, Slovenia Daniel Kottut, Kenya

Tomoko Kubota Japan

Andreas Maager, Consulate General of Switzerland,

Atlanta

Charlotte Marres, United Kingdom

Pablo Berti Oliva,Cuba Iris Ott, Switzerland

Haley PattersonUnited States of America

Radka Sibille, European Union Delegation to

the U.N.

Yibra Aynekullu Tesfaye Ethiopia

NuraymTynybekova,Kyrgyzstan

Sofia Varguez Mexico

Viktor Velek, Czech Republic

Codrina Vierita, Romania

Academics and Independent Experts

Anders Eriksson, Independent Consultant

Guy Goodwin-Gill, Oxford University

Edward McMahonUniversity of Vermont

Sita Ranchod-Nilsson, Emory University, Institute

for Developing Nations

John Stremlau, University of the Witwatersrand

Mark Stevens, Independent Consultant Markku Suksi, Professor, Åbo Åkademi

Domenico Tuccinardi, Independent Consultant

Kåre Vollan, Quality AS

This work was made possible, in part, by the generous support of Mr. Steven E. Nothern.

THE CARTER CENTER



One Copenhill
453 Freedom Parkway
Atlanta, GA 30307
(404) 420-5100• Fax (404) 420-5145

www.cartercenter.org